IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 19456 of 2005 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23.10.2006

Gurjinder Kumar .... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others. ... Respondents.

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice J.S. Khehar,
Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.D. Anand.

Present : Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for Mr. Manjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Chahal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

J.S. Khehar, J. (Oral)

Civil Misc. Application No. 13965 of 2006 is allowed. Written statement on behalf of respondent No.2 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous on account of the fact that appointments of respondent Nos. 6 and 7 impugned through the instant writ petition have been annulled during the pendency of the instant writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner acknowledges the aforesaid factual position.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

October 23, 2006 (S.D. Anand)
vkd Judge